

Nov. 15, 2010 (#707)

Alan Watt "Cutting Through The Matrix" LIVE on RBN:

Poem Copyright Alan Watt Nov. 15, 2010:

Governance -- Sovereign Subversion by Soft-Power Coercion:

"The Dominant Minority, Human Debaucher,
Used to Put on Displays of Ruthless Torture,
A King's Dread Symbol was His Tower,
Where He Exercised His "Hard Power",
Being "Force Unto Death" So His Seed
Would Inherit the Land, Satisfy Greed,
But in This Age, There's Much Reliance
On "Soft-Power" Agreements for Compliance,
With Professionals in Processes of the Mind
Aiding Dominant Minority in Ruling Mankind,
It's Been Growing for Over a Hundred Years,
Playing with Drives, Love-Hate, Fears,
Creating a Hybrid Out of Vast Variety,
A Compliant World-Citizen for "Great Society",
These Re-Engineered, Chemicalized Hybrids
Hear of Draconian Laws, Yet Flutter No Eyelids,
Plundered by Bankers, Never Complaining,
X-Rayed, Body-Searched, Need No Restraining,
Nor Need for Torture, Firebrand and Mace,
We've Soft-Power Professionals with Smiley Face"

© Alan Watt Nov. 15, 2010

Poem & Dialogue Copyrighted Alan Watt - Nov. 15, 2010 (Exempting Music,
Literary Quotes, and Callers' Comments)

cuttingthroughthematrix.com

alternate sites:

cuttingthroughthematrix.net , [.us](http://cuttingthroughthematrix.us) , [.ca](http://cuttingthroughthematrix.ca)

alanwattcuttingthroughthematrix.ca

European site includes all audios & downloadable TRANSCRIPTS in European
languages for print up:

alanwattsentientsentinel.eu

mirror site:

cuttingthrough.jenkness.com

Information for purchasing Alan's books, CDs, DVDs and DONATIONS:

Canada and America: PayPal, Cash, personal checks &
for the US, **INTERNATIONAL** postal money orders / for Canada, INTERNAL postal
money orders
(America: Postal Money orders - Stress the **INTERNATIONAL** pink one, not the green
internal one.)

Outside the Americas: PayPal, Cash, Western Union and Money Gram
(Money Gram is cheaper; even cheaper is a Money Gram check - in Canadian dollars:
mail via the postal services worldwide.)

PayPal Orders: USE THE [DONATE](#) BUTTON ON THE WEBSITE - AND -
Send a separate email along with the donation (list your order, name and address)

Click the link below for your location (ordering info):
[USA](#) [Canada](#) [Europe/Scandinavian](#) [All Other Countries](#)

Hi folks. I am Alan Watt and this is Cutting Through The Matrix on November 15, 2010. I always start off the show - to get it out of the way at the beginning - to tell folk, especially the newcomers, to look into cuttingthroughthematrix.com web site. When you are there scroll down and bookmark all the other sites listed because sometimes you'll find sticking when so many folk go into the .com site at the same time. So try these alternate sites that I've got listed; these are the official sites I have - the ONLY official sites I have. All of those sites have audios for download, of the talks I've given. They all have transcripts in English of a lot of the talks too, for print up. If you want transcripts in other languages go into alanwattsentientsentinel.eu and you can take your pick from a whole bunch of languages. You can print them up and pass them around to your friends, hopefully.

Remember that you are the audience that brings me to you. I don't take on the advertisers and live well off of it. That's how hosts make their living. That's okay too. It's alright, but to an extent you can be kind of compromised as well. This gives me a freer hand to do what I want to do and say what I want to say, and I don't have to fool you at times by bringing on guests who are really selling something - so you are listening to a 15 minute, half hour or one hour ads. The ads you hear on this show are paid by the advertisers directly to RBN for the broadcast of the show; it pays for their equipment, their staff and their bills as well, which I'm sure are pretty hefty. So it's up to you to help me with mine and you can do so by donating or purchasing the books, CDs and DVDs that I have on my web sites. These are different books. They go through ancient history to the present - sometimes it's a bit comical in a sense - but it's written in such a way as to wake you up out of your lethargy. Because we have had sciences worked upon us since birth to keep us in the dark and to make us into very linear thinking people. So much so that they can put out latent symbols, right in front of you and you don't recognize them, but your unconscious mind does, as Carl Jung would say. They can program you even through those techniques as well. We ARE the walking dead in a sense, which is the ideal population to be controlled of course. The world is all about control and it always has been it seems. So buy the books and so on that I have for sale. [Order and donation options listed above.] Remember that you can also donate as well. Some people donate... it's always the same people over and over that donate. Thousands use the site, thousands take the material and run with it - which is good - but the ones that run with it never pay you anything or even think about it. They expect everything to be free, although they will definitely have subscriptions on their own sites, you'll find eventually, with information that they've gleaned from mine. But that's the way of the world and that's what you expect in this day and age where everyone is out for themselves. That's the unfortunate part about the system that we are living in today. Those who *give* us this system, and the psychopathic culture, count on us basically adopting the patterns of those at the top. Back with more after this break.

Hi folks. I'm back and we're Cutting Through The Matrix. I've talked over the

years about the techniques of going around national sovereignty, and where the sources came from, and why it is that way, who's behind it, the big organizations, the foundations, with the top one really which is definitely the Royal Institute of International Affairs and its American branch, the Council on Foreign Relations. They also have a branch for all of the politicians now in the European Union and it's the European International Affairs group but it's still basically beholden to London and Chatham House; that is the headquarters. They are the ones that came up with the idea of a global society. They backed the early communists in fact. They thought it was a fast way of bringing in the socialist system for controlling the public. Not to help the public but to control them, in a scientific fashion, the way they thought it should be proper. Remember that they were set up initially by international bankers. These are bankers who lend to governments. I don't know what they lend them... it's probably a check or something and you just print up the money for them, and you give them real goods back in return. I think that's how it works.

They wanted a socialist system to control the public, an authoritarian type system. They hired lots of guys on, of course, all the big... in fact they *made* a lot of people's names for them, through their other organizations which they also controlled, the other specialized areas. They set up the United Nations of course. They also set up UNESCO and all these, to bring in a global culture for the children to be brainwashed in and to teach them early sex, prepubertal sex in fact, so they wouldn't get married. What's the point in getting married when you can have sex with anybody you want? All of that stuff came from the ONE institution. Remember too, communism was the fastest way to bring a standard system across every part of the world. Look at what the Soviets did. They standardized every country, very quickly, and when the left they left basically the same system in place, as that of Britain. What a coincidence...

That's the same way as America is to go, through the Council on Foreign Relations. I used to be astonished, years ago, when I traveled across Europe and different countries, beyond Europe, to find the *same* laws being passed in countries *at the same time*. And none of the countries that mentioned the laws coming in ever referred to the fact that they were signing international agreements; not necessarily treaties, but agreements - sometimes they would say treaties but generally it was agreements. They would always leave you to think it was your own government that had come up with the idea and it was just your country that was affected. That's what I noticed. Everyone was putting in the same laws at the same time... which obviously meant there was an organization behind all of this, where people went to meet, and met, and came back to their own countries with the agenda AND put it into being.

That put me on, eventually, to the United Nations and the kind of terminology they use, such as 'soft power,' 'hard power,' and that kind of thing, these little terms that they use. They also call them 'actors' too; they have 'actors' as well. So power, really it's all about power. World government has to use power. And it's not just to retain power or alter it; it's to really take ALL power under its wing. That's the objective. And to do that they use the actors. The actors are basically most of the NGO groups; that's what they refer to as actors. Or even TV personalities or movie actors are 'actors upon the world stage'. They also use them in the 'theater of war' because that's where actors generally play, in a theater.

Of course the theater of war is set up in advance and that's why they use their geopolitics. They set up the countries in row like dominoes. They can literally go through a century, taking down countries, building up other countries, and standardizing them as they go along until they have their global plan. That's what it was all set up to do. A standardized global system where an elite, a global elite would feel nice and safe at last, where they have a massive controlled society, a world society, all standardized. You're very predictable when you are standardized. If you're all different cultures behaving in different ways it's a lot more work, but when you standardize them they are far more predictable - the same security systems can be used worldwide, the same indoctrination in the schools can be used worldwide - and they feel very safe at the top then.

So for all of the communists out there, I hate to disappoint you but that was always the goal for those who set up Lenin and Stalin, really were the ones who created the world revolutionary movements in the 1800s, these same big boys at the top, these big bankers. It takes MONEY and ORGANIZATION and a lot of TIME to prepare for revolutions. A rebellion is not a revolution. A rebellion is basically retaliation to get something *back*, a way that was before, or to get some kind of rights back. That's what a rebellion is. A revolution takes many years to plan, and the big word is ORGANIZE, organization.

Today we are well on the way now. We ARE global. The Council on Foreign Relations, through its own magazine, Foreign Affairs, has always told you where they are going next. You can go into their web sites and look at all the different things they are working on. Including the coming food crisis because they are going to manufacture a food crisis to speed up the process of integration of the world into the new system where you will get rations if you are good and you behave yourself, and you don't cause any ruffles in their system.

Soft power is also interesting because that's mainly the technique that went around national sovereignty. They also are into using various so-called health rated policies as well. Under the guise of... it's like terrorism. Terrorism can be used to literally take every right away from you until you've got literally iPods and little cameras staring at your toilet bowl. That's how far they can go with it. They can chip you if they want to, which eventually they will of course... all under the guise, to keep you safe. To keep you safe... and to keep everybody else safe. They use the same technique when they are trying to get you to take the flu injections. *YOU COULD ENDANGER OTHERS IF YOU DON'T TAKE THAT SHOT*, you see. Which is a lie because if all the others have taken the shot, they should be technically resistant even if you were infected, right? So lies are always covered up by their terminology and the way - a very interesting way to do it - is to do a doublethink and have you in the reverse position, on the defensive, rather than using logic and say, *WAIT A MINUTE, YOUR GUYS HAVE ALL HAD THE SHOT, YOU ARE PROTECTED, WHAT ARE YOU WORRYING ABOUT ME FOR?* Right. It's my fault I've got the flu, right, because I chose to. And probably you won't get the flu anyway; although they will get other symptoms of the flu, the ones that took it.

These are the techniques that they use on you. Massive psychology, as Bertrand Russell said they would use. In fact, *THEY WOULD USE MADISON AVENUE*, he said, the experts who would motivate your unconscious mind and all of the unconscious drives that motivate you to do things. They were using the Bernays techniques as

well. Bernays worked on a lot of this stuff, for the coming world order by the way, in collusion with Presidents and people higher than Presidents. Presidents are not the highest, believe you me. A lot of Pavlovian techniques are used too, which is social approval and social disapproval. The United Nations uses its soft power to alter behavior. Soft power is where you make people turn against other people. Like the flu shot... OH, THEY DIDN'T HAVE THEIR FLU SHOTS, THEY ARE ENDANGERING EVERYONE ELSE. That's soft power. They used that in China to ensure that people would not have second children. At one time they would drag off a woman with a second pregnancy to the abortion clinic, by the militia. Now they don't need it. The neighbors will turn on them, will actually grab them, and get angry, and turn them in. OH, YOU'RE ROBBING FOOD FROM OUR MOUTHS. You see. That's how the technique is used. Soft power.

They do the same thing with cigarettes of course. This is the 5th agreement every country has signed through the United Nations World Health Organization, Dr. WHO, W-H-O. They have turned people against smoking, by Pavlovian techniques. If someone lights up a cigarette 20 yards from a child, that has had their brainwashing at school, they will start coughing as though they were blowing it in their face. A Pavlovian response. It could be done the same way by wearing a certain color of clothing, if they want to. I'm not kidding you. This is basic psychology.

They are also using it in food next. Because food, under the guise of obesity, is going to be rationed and they are getting you used to getting rationed one way or another, the same way as they stop people smoking cigarettes, along with jacking up the prices. This article will go along with this. This is a PURE propaganda piece from Panorama. Panorama is an interesting organization because they used to do radio shows in Britain - that's how they started off - and then television. I think the first one they did was actually about EUGENICS, way back in the 50s. But you will never find that one; you will find everything else they've done but not that one. You can't get it. They were way ahead in those days of the kind of world they were going to bring in. So they have always been liars and spinners so here is a good spin here.

Should the UK tax junk food?

By Shelley Jofre / Panorama/ bbc.co.uk / November 15, 2010

In the same way as taxing cigarettes helped to reduce smoking and related illnesses, *(Alan: Strange how more folk have cancers today and have never smoked in their lives. But anyway, that doesn't matter; facts don't count.)* could putting up the price of junk food - as Denmark has done - cut obesity rates in the UK?

(A: Then they go into the usual spiel of storytelling.) The first thing that struck me on the taxi journey into Copenhagen was how slim everyone looked.

I really had trouble spotting anyone fat.

And the second thing that became obvious the moment I stepped out of the cab and was almost run over by a cyclist, was that the Danes are clearly no strangers to exercise. *(A: See what it does is it makes you awfully skinny but*

you are awfully weak too, and your eyesight gets poor and you almost crash into folk on your bike. I guess that's what he is saying here... maybe that's what he's saying, I don't know.)

So why on earth has their government become the first in the world to introduce a tax on junk food? (A: *So there are your taxes on food starting, you see.)*

The answer is depressingly simple. They may be among the slimmest in Europe but the Danes do not want to end up as fat as the British. (A: *So they are using this, WELL, LOOK AT THEM AND LOOK AT BRITAIN, IT'S BAD. Britain's bad because they've had more psychological and chemical and food war on them than anyone else. That's why they're in the mess they're in. I'll go into this in more detail, a lot more detail, when I come back from this break.)*

Hi folks. We're back and we're Cutting Through The Matrix and just showing you some of the techniques that are used. If you listen carefully as I read this article here on creating social approval and social disapproval, and they always back it with what they said they would use eventually, at the end, bringing in this world system, and that's the power of the purse. The power of the purse... through taxation, fines, and levies and all the rest of it. It says here...

At this rate, by 2050 obesity will be costing the state £32bn a year. (A: *That's in Britain that he's talking about there. Then they go on to blame various things like sugar and so on and that that's the cause of it. Well, that's NOT the cause of it you see, and it isn't just the junk food either. It's all the crap that they put in it, including all of the healthy stuff that they said was good for you like all the oils and that. You see, your body can't really store this stuff properly... it can store it but it can't take it out. It doesn't know how to disassemble it; that's basically the problem. Once the fat is stuck on you, you just can't get it off. And they have always known this too, but they won't tell you about it. They won't tell you about it because eventually they don't want you eating protein at all.*

If you want to understand how you can control a whole population, for thousands of years, study India. Study India and how the system of the Brahmins was imposed upon the people, and how obedient the public, the people over there have been for thousands of years. Very obedient and they don't question things too deeply, as to their station in life, their different caste systems, and that's what they want to bring in, the same kind of system as that. Again, you've got to go into the writings of Malthus where he had it all worked out, how many calories to give and what kind of foodstuffs to give the slaves, on the plantations that Britain ruled, and other countries too because it was international. It was just enough to keep them going but not too bright, so they wouldn't be mentally bright and they wouldn't be fit enough at the end of the day's work to run off and run away. This is how it was worked out. This is an old, old technique. The Egyptians used it too; they tried all kinds of diets with them. Nothing new under the sun, they say.

To get back to this public relations propaganda article here, it says...)

"We've been relying on and emphasising self-responsibility for the last 50

years and it doesn't work," Charlotte Kira Kimby, of the Danish Heart Foundation told me. (A: Now, the Danish Heart Foundation, like all of these foundations, is a front folks, for the big, big foundations that run the world, that bypass governments. That's, again, soft power... back to soft power again, at the United Nations, who get treaties signed and charters signed, that has the power of a strange kind of a law that's not written in the books, but they all accept it as legal. I'll be going into that in a minute too.)

"We know that sugar and fat are really what causes obesity (A: It's not really just that. It's more than just that.) to increase. So to target taxes makes sense and should have an impact on health." (A: Punish the folk, just make it unaffordable. And that won't stop there of course, because then you are going to find all kinds of meats and proteins are going to get extra taxes levied on them regardless down the road. I don't care what they start with, that will be their goal. Eventually you will be left to eat nuts and seeds and GMO stuff, and you will all be happy little skinny people, but not too bright. Really happy though, regardless of your conditions of your work place, if you have any work at all.)

Think of all your favourite indulgences - chocolate, ice cream, crisps, sweets, cola... and imagine they all cost you significantly more than at present.

That is what is happening in Denmark. If it hit you in the pocket, would it make you change your behaviour? (A: Well you see, you understand, you can make that work with anything in that case, can't you? Anything at all. Anything at all, because you are all getting taught to come into this world of austerity. Nothing to do with the so-called bank collapses; they had it planned a long time ago. Because one day you are going to get rations, or tokens, instead of money and you will use those tokens for your food. And I'm not kidding either. I've read all of their stuff, from years ago to the present. These guys are liars every step of the way as to their actual agenda.) Or would you simply be furious about the food police telling you what to eat?

(A: Then he goes on about more rubbish and how healthy, ya-da, ya, they are and the most highly taxed nation in Europe, which is Denmark. I was in Denmark years ago and I was surprised they were openly teaching Marxism in the school. And they were way ahead with the destruction of the family unit too and really very young promiscuity. That was all part of the agenda; they were way ahead in that. Every country in Europe was used as a social experiment, unbeknownst to the public themselves, who all took part in it without knowing that they were getting guided. Every country was getting used in different ways. In Holland they had reversed the roles of the male and female; they paid the guys to stay home and look after the children and paid the women to go out to work and stuff like that; and then brought them dope that the government dispensed in bars. I watched all this stuff and I thought, my goodness, every little place is a socialist experiment and eventually they will glean what they want from each place and bring it together into one. It says...)

But they do not resent the government adding further to their grocery bills; far from it. (A: ...they are all happy to be taxed silly. Then they give you these crazy, I don't know if they are real people or not, and how wonderful it

is to be taxed silly so that you don't eat too much. Abreaction was maybe better. They should try that, you could give yourself a little electric shock each time you open your mouth to eat something. That will probably teach you even faster, and at least you'll have some cash at the end of it for something else. This way you'll have no money at all. Anyway, it's a complete PR piece, public relations/propaganda piece. You don't get news anymore, you get public relations. It's interesting here too, it says here...)

Obesity expert Professor Peter Kopelman of the Royal College of Physicians argues that the UK could learn a lesson from the lean Danes.

He believes that there is a clear parallel with the taxation of cigarettes.

" Nudges are very important... (A: *Let's touch on 'nudges' when I come back from this break.*)

Hi folks. We're back and we're Cutting Through The Matrix, talking about nudges and so on. Of course nudges are what behaviorists use. Your computer does it too. They use guys to put nudges in the computer telling you what to do next and so on. They also do it, give you little nudges, in the little articles you read without you even knowing it. These nudges are very important to program people, and that's what it's about, programming people into different behaviors, that you don't know you are even getting programmed. You are living in a world of propaganda and behaviorism and psychology, all being used against you from the minute you are born in fact. It goes all through school too, to make sure they turn out the proper kind of citizen for the global society. They also differentiate it too. It's adjusted for male and female so that they get the kind of male they want and the kind of female they want too. And even at the age groups they want, how you will be at a certain age group and what you will like and what you won't like, and what you will believe and what you won't believe. It's that perfected and that precise.

It says here that...

The Health Secretary Andrew Lansley is due to publish a white paper on public health for England shortly. In it, he will lay out his strategy for tackling obesity.

But it seems any idea of a junk food tax is already off the table.

"Nudges are very important. (A: *They know that because the government uses them in all of their ads as well.*) Tax is not a nudge, tax is a shove," he said.

"If you start down the route of taxation, quite often you get quite a lot of push back against that. The public don't think it's our job to be trying to tell people what to do." (A: *Really? [chuckles] They have been doing it for hundreds of years, right. It's also how all of your behavior is too, it's all authorized by government, whichever behavior and culture they give you for your particular era.*)

That's a complete propaganda piece from Panorama as they kick off this session. They have already kicked off euthanasia and stuff. I've talked about them in the

past, openly with the professors and all of the rest of it, getting you used to that idea. It's to acclimatize you to what's coming. That's really what these articles are really for. They are kind of predictive programming. Most folk won't look any further into it, until they see the next thing announced and a few more talk shows will bring it out and so on and so on, until it's quite natural to them. They'll say, WELL, WHAT CAN YOU DO... and you go back and you chew your GMO lettuce.

It's interesting too, how the Council on Foreign Relations uses all this soft power. They use hard power as well because many of the members of the Council on Foreign Relations/Royal Institute of International Affairs have their members in your high bureaucracies and in government positions as well, that you think you elect them to. Carol Quigley said that, EVERY PRESIDENT AND PRIME MINISTER SINCE ABOUT 1890 WERE MEMBERS OF THIS PARTICULAR GROUP, even when they were called a different name, of course; they keep changing their name down through the centuries. Here is an article; it's quite good. I'll put up a link for this article. It's called Transatlantic Policy Network, another group that goes around using soft power, getting agreements written up and charters written up, and agreed, that the countries all sign and eventually it becomes some kind of law. They are unelected groups, but, interesting this one here shows you all the congressional members, of the Republicans and the Democrats, who are members of this international/globalist group. They are actually working towards globalism; that's their policy. So why are you even bothering to elect people you *think* are standing up for your country when these guys have no concept of having a country anymore? They are all for utter integrated globalism. I'll put this link up. It gives you all of their names.

Members of the TPN Congressional Group are drawn from a broad basis of representation in the United States

tpnonline.org

Congressional Group

SENATORS

Robert Bennett (R-Utah) *(A: Is a member of it.)*

Thad Cochran (R-Mississippi) *(A: Is all for globalism and internationalism. That's the end of sovereignty folks, for anybody who doesn't understand this.*

REPRESENTATIVES

Gary Ackerman (D-New York) *(A: They work with the European teams as well, for global integration.)*

Shelley Berkley (D-Nevada)

Marsha Blackburn (R-Tennessee)

John Boehner (R-Ohio)

Rick Boucher (D-Virginia)

Kevin Brady (R-Texas)

Henry Brown (R-South Carolina)

Eric Cantor (R-Virginia)

It's everybody. It's like everybody is on here actually. Pull up the list and you can look it up for yourself. And you can look for the European ones as well. You'll see the national government members, who are politicians in government at this present time, are also members of this international group for internationalism and the eradication of countries by the way. Quite interesting eh? These groups have been on the go for a long time and they do an end-run around national sovereignty. That's what the Council on Foreign Relations said long ago, many years ago, many, many years ago. And they form all of these groups and they would have a *form* of legitimacy and a form of legality which they would use to bring all of this into being. Interesting, eh?

And just to read this other article here, on soft law that I was talking about. Soft law, remember we get these public/private partnership deals. Well that's how things have been working. They used to call it Fascism at one time, and remember that all systems, including communist, are essentially fascist in structure, because there is no such thing as equality in any of them, whatsoever. There is always an elite at the top living like kings and all the peasants down below. Here is an article and it says...

Is soft law taking over? The perils and benefits of non-traditional legislation

A Paper for the Progress Foundation, Switzerland
By Graham Mather, President, European Policy Forum / 20 October 2010 /
progressfoundations.ch

(A: This is not a warning by the way. This is for the Progress Foundation, which is part of this soft law organization, that they push and coordinate other organizations towards this globalist, integrated, non... in fact end of sovereignty, end of nations system... which is the agenda. There's no other agenda on the books by the way.)

What was government has become governance. *(A: That's what they call governance now. You'll see it through ALL of the papers that you look at from the United Nations.)* Where once elected politicians passed legislation and signed treaties to determine our futures, today un-elected experts make rules through soft law. *(A: "Rules," you see. Interesting isn't it?)*

To prove this proposition, let me turn to the financial crisis and the way in which it hit Europe. *(A: Now, he's going to justify that all these nongovernmental organizations, and the private banks and that, BAILED the people out. They got us out of the trouble. The ones who robbed us, got us out of the trouble, just to preface this.)*

First into action to provide liquidity was the European Central Bank headed by its President, Jean-Claude Trichet. Although he has been named Policy Maker of the Year on more than one occasion he has never been a minister, never a politician, never elected. But this gifted enarque and his Central Bank

colleagues, in their provision of liquidity, quantitative easing (A: *That's inflation.*), bank guaranteeing and money printing determined, governed the response to the crisis. (A: *So they are trying to say that governments responded, by these guys who are the experts, who caused the problem, and these experts that caused the problem got us out of the problem by telling the government to tax us all and borrow money from them, I guess so that they could pay themselves off from the money they stole.*)

Once the authorities had their breath back they looked for solutions in the longer term. They turned to Jacques de Larosière, another supertalented French banker and public servant. A former head of the IMF (A: *Which is a private organization attached to the United Nations, right. Soft power.*) and EBRD, again he has never sought election to a parliament and was appointed (A: *They are always appointed...*) by decision of the European Commission. (A: *...which is nondemocratic as we well know.*) Yet his report was and is the foundation of Europe's response to the crisis.

As Europe and de Larosière turned to align their measures with those of the United States, the spotlight moves to the G20. (A: *Now the G20, as you know, is made up of what you think are your elected representatives, right, for globalism and integration and the end of national sovereignty... and the end of nations, in fact, all together, as they bring in regions.*) Another soft law creation, (A: *The G20, remember you don't vote it in. It's a club of Prime Ministers and Presidents. It's a CLUB folks. Because he says it right here, "another soft law creation..."*) it did not exist 10 years ago when the G7 and G8 were the main focus of global financial discussion. **It is self-appointed**, (A: *Is that democratic?*) has no charter, (A: *Oh yes they do. They take their orders from above.*) and no treaty determines its rules or its membership. (A: *Utter lies because they are all members of the CFR/RIIA and they set its rules, for its memberships. You can't join it unless you are ASKED to join.*) Yet it is the lead body for the raft of measures which are being taken around the world to emerge from the financial crisis. The European Commission will show you a table against which it checks off its compliance with the G20 norms. (A: *So, the G20, which is unelected and a private organization, is telling the European Commission and the Union, this Parliament, what to do. Hmm? Interesting, eh?*)

Swing back again to the proximate causes of the financial crisis. How do we decide whether banks are or are not properly capitalised? Again, by soft law. The Basel Accords must assume their responsibility. Whether Basel I, II or III the capital adequacy rules are decided **informally** (A: *Gentleman's agreements...*) and their status is as recommendations. The Basel Committee, which now includes the G20 countries, therefore recommends capital adequacy measures without a treaty or constitutional framework; only later are the recommendations turned into national or European laws. (A: *So, private organizations, run by the bankers, determine what laws in your nation are put into effect.*)

When the crisis moved from banks in the market to the risk of sovereign default, the foundation instrument turned out to be another piece of, this time European soft law – the Stability and Growth Pact of the European Union. It has never been fully constitutionalised and comprises a Council Resolution,

Two Council Regulations and a Code of Conduct. This uneasy mixture makes it in academic terms a hybrid between soft law and hard law, or more bluntly “a stability pact ridden with holes”. (Habermas 2010). (A: *That’s from Habermas, 2010, that obviously works for them.*)

When Europe acted to bail out Greece it did not do so under Treaty or legislative powers – rather it created a special Intercreditor Agreement between 15 eurozone member states at short notice on 8 May of that fateful weekend. The soft nature of the transaction is demonstrated by the fact that Slovakia's Parliament on 18 August refused by 69 votes to 2 to ratify the agreement.

These were crisis measures. But in the daily running of the European Union also, much policy in labour markets, the environment and elsewhere takes place through the Open Method of Co-ordination. The OMC is a series of soft law techniques of discussion, co-operation, benchmarking and peer-review. The procedures are unmentioned even in the Lisbon Treaty. (A: *Well, who needs the legal stuff, eh?*)

My purpose in this lecture is not to suggest that hard law is good and soft law bad. It is rather to sketch the extent of soft law, to look at its causes and rationale, to assess its merits and problems and then to draw some conclusions for future public policy arising from it. (A: *So they are making public policy.*)

How can we define soft law for **our** purposes? (A: *Remember this is part of this organization that creates this kind of soft law, a coordinating think tank obviously.*) Once upon a time it might have been thought of as a series of 'gentlemen's agreements' but both the term and language has a rather dated feel. (A: *So they don’t like the dated feel of it. See.*) (Williamson, 2003).

Linda Senden has provided the definition which I found most persuasive (A: *Persuasion is more important than fact.*); that soft law is formed by “rules of conduct that are laid down in instruments which have not been attributed a legally-binding force as such, but nevertheless may have certain indirect legal effects that are carried out and may produce practical effects”. (Senden, 2005). (A: *So soft law, nongovernmental organizations, foundations and so on, and think tanks, are making policies for your supposed elected leaders. The bankers are making your laws... but they have been for an awful long time, eh.*)

Or as Ulrika Mörtz has noted “in systems of government the law is hard whereas it is soft in systems of governance. (A: *That’s the term they are using at the United Nations.*) The crucial difference between these two types of legal norms is that soft law lacks the possibility of legal sanctions”.

The fact that soft law lacks legal sanctions does not mean that it does not attempt to impose sanctions by a range of techniques. They are enforceable or sanctionable at various different levels by means, not of law, but of some form of perceived international responsibility. (A: *That is what are called agreements, folks. They write up agreements and your Prime Ministers and*

your Presidents sign them. That's what they signed at the World Health Organization to jack up the price of smokes to stop folk smoking. An agreement. And they up the ante every year by making it harder and harder and harder. Agreements... you see. Because your Presidents and Prime Ministers don't ask the public what they want. No. Private organizations tell them what to sign. I've told you this for years.) These systems lack legal rights or obligations, they also lack procedural safeguards and they often lack transparency: and these are serious weaknesses.

In the context of the OECD's initiative on so called harmful tax practices (A: *That's another strange quasi-governmental United Nations organization. It takes your tax money supposedly to help the poor in other countries, through big corporations.*) Allison Christians has said that the category of soft law "seems to offer a third way between the potentially uncomfortable position of describing the OECD guidance as "law" and therefore implying states must comply with it, and the potentially unrealistic position of describing it as not law at all, despite evidence that countries do in fact comply with it, often against their self interest or will (or both)". (Christians, 2007). (A: *Because they tax the blazes out of you to give to these foreign corporations, which are international anyway; they have no basis in a country. They take your tax money. That's how they set up China, by taking your tax money, through these agreements by the way, through The World Trade Organization. You don't vote in The World Trade Organization. Do your homework and find out who set it up.*)

The Stability and Growth Pact provides a good example. We immediately see its soft law origins: a deficit above 3% is not excessive if the excess over 3% is only "exceptional and temporary" and the (government deficit) ratio remains "close to the reference value". As has been noted, there has been considerable manoeuvrability within those limits.

They basically go through their usual bureaucratese speech of course, to try and rationalize how they can get more effective agreements made with more appearance of legality and legitimacy. And this is what this paper is all about. It goes on and on and on and it would take forever to read this thing. It would be really interesting to go right through it, for you, and you should do so. Go through all the links that come from it as well. You'll find out... you see for an awful... in fact for the whole of your life you haven't been run by your governments at all. You really, really haven't.

This public/private partnership idea, this new kind of strange fascist organization, without the name being used of fascism, which of course meant it was corporations and politicians in bed together running the rest of the public. That's exactly what it is, but so was communism. You had the big GM, Ford, and all these big boys over in the Soviet system from the beginning... lots of plants like that. And again, through nongovernmental organizations and soft power the US and Britain and other countries financed the Soviet Union from the very beginning, right through its entire existence, even fed them too... after they killed off most of the farmers. It's the same with China. And you think.... Why do you bother voting? I've asked people that my whole life. Why do you bother voting? When these guys come out with the usual nonsense, they drape themselves in your country's flag, they play the tunes that you are used to responding to like Pavlov's

dog, and then they tell you, OH BY THE WAY, WE ARE WORKING INTERNATIONALLY TO DESTROY YOUR COUNTRY AND MAKE YOU A REGION. Back with more after this.

Hi folks. We're back and we're Cutting Through The Matrix with a few minutes to go. Remember I'll put these links up for you at the end of the show on my web sites and you can look them up for yourselves, and do your homework. I'm sure lots of folk will run with it too and it will be all over the place, hopefully, in a little while. Of course they will never mention where they heard it.

There is Tom from Wisconsin on the phone. Are you there Tom?

Tom: Hi. I'd like to thank you for the work that you do. I just want to express my dismay. Seemingly there is this sort of, I guess blast of energy coming from the people who are upset about being groped by the TSA agents and being irradiated and the body scanners. But what we are not seeing is across the board refusal to comply with agents who aren't even, you know, certifiable peace officers, to even have the authority to command people around and ask... tell them we are going to grope you and feel you up, you know, for safety. Until that happens nothing is going to change and they are just going to... you know, although they will just do it, they will just go, okay audience, you will do it and... you know? I don't know. We have to change if we are going to see change in the world. And I see a lot of people talking change, but not actually...

Alan: Well, talking isn't going to do a darn thing. You know that too. I think we all know that, that talking isn't going to do a darn thing.

Tom: I just wanted to throw it out on the table because I just don't think that we say it enough, that just talking about this isn't going to accomplish anything.

Alan: It doesn't work. No. It takes people to collectively say, NO, THAT'S IT, and get the message across and then you take what comes from there. That's the bottom line. These guys at the top have made it quite clear that there is only one agenda and they are going to take no opposition concerning it. If there is opposition *they* will put out a fake opposition. However, they've also said from their main think tank, the Club of Rome, that this New World Order is an authoritarian system, democracy is out the window. It's gone. So that's what they are telling us. So what do we tell them in response to that?

Tom: Yeah. Have you seen the cover of the most recent USA Today paper, which was today actually?

Alan: No.

Tom: In there, there was a propaganda piece where Janet Napolitano asked for us to have patience during the holiday season as they grope and radiate, body scan everybody that flies. I just was like, you know, they show the opposition in the article but they put the headlines and they have Janet Napolitano featured prominently in a picture. It's all awash of just, IT'S OKAY, EVERYTHING WILL BE OKAY, and just trying to soothe those that are already under mind control to, DON'T WORRY ABOUT IT, IT WILL BE OKAY.

Alan: Again, it's just to pacify you and, again, further condition you into the new

norm, and have you accept it as a new norm. Of course they are not stopping there; they are bringing iris scans in now too, in everything. You get checked twice at the airport with this thing, that you'll probably end up blind if you're a frequent flyer and that's your tough luck. There is no end to this. As you well know, there is no end. And eventually they are going to darn well chip folk... and most folk will go along with that too. There no opposition to this totalitarian system that's in place right now. You can't talk with them because they mean business. They have shown the public they mean business. It's their way or no way and when this has happened in history, down through history, the public have had to respond in the usual two ways, the two options they've got left. Thanks for calling; I know exactly what you are saying.

From Hamish and myself in Ontario, Canada, it's good night and may your God your Gods GO with you.

Topics of show covered in following links:

[Propaganda Training for Restricting Food and Eventual Rationing](#)

[Transatlantic Policy Group](#)

[Global Governance and Transatlantic Group](#)

[Government-Private Institutes and Soft Law](#)

Alan's Materials Available for Purchase and Ordering Information:

- | | | |
|-------------------------------------|---|---|
| <u>BOOKS</u> | "Cutting Through" & "Waiting for the Miracle....."
Volumes 1, 2, 3 | Also available in Spanish or Portuguese translation:
"Esperando el Milagro....." (<u>Español</u>) & "Esperando um Milagre....." (<u>Português</u>) |
| <u>CDs</u> | Ancient Religions and History MP3 CDs:
Part 1 (1998) and Part 2 (1998-2000) | & Blurbs and 'Cutting Through the Matrix' Shows on MP3 CDs (Up to 50 Hours per Disc) |
| <u>DVDs</u> | "Reality Check Part 1" & "Reality Check Part 2 - Wisdom, Esoterica and ...TIME" | |